



Wilby Neighbourhood Plan Basic Conditions Statement July 2020



Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Legal requirements	3
3.	Basic Conditions	4
4.	Regard to National policies and advice & strategic policies In the Development Plan	5
5.	Achieving Sustainable Development	30
6.	Compatibility with EU Obligations	35
7.	Prescribed Matters	38



1. Introduction

- 1.1 When submitting a Neighbourhood Plan to the Local Authority (in this case Mid Suffolk District Council), it is a requirement that the Plan be accompanied by a number of supporting documents. One of these is commonly referred to as the 'Basic Conditions Statement'. Only a Neighbourhood Plan that meets each of the basic conditions can be put to referendum and if successful be used to assist in the determination of planning applications.
- 1.2 This Basic Conditions Statement is prepared for use by Mid Suffolk District Council and the Independent Planning Examiner, to assist in making this assessment about the basic conditions.

2. Legal Requirements

Legal Requirements: The Neighbourhood Plan is compliant with The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 38A (1) & (2) and 38B (a)-(c).

Qualifying Body: The Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan being submitted by a qualifying body – Wilby Parish Council. Wilby Parish Council was confirmed as a qualifying body by Mid Suffolk District Council on 18th December 2017 when the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan Area was designated.

A Neighbourhood Development Plan: The Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan is a neighbourhood development plan. It relates to planning matters (the use and development of land) and has been prepared in accordance with the statutory requirements and processes set out in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) and the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012.

The time-period covered: The Wilby Neighbourhood Plan states the time-period for which it is to have effect (from 2018-2036) a period of 18 years.



Excluded Development: The Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan policies do not relate to excluded development. The Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan does not deal with County Matters (mineral extraction and waste development), nationally significant infrastructure or any other matters set out in Section 61K of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Area of the Neighbourhood Plan: The Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan relates to the Wilby Parish Council's Neighbourhood Area and to no other area. There are no other Neighbourhood Plans in place relating to that Neighbourhood Area.

3. Basic Conditions

- 3.1 Paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by Schedule 10 of the Localism Act 2011) sets out a series of requirements that Neighbourhood Plans must meet. These 'basic conditions' are set out below:
- 3.2 A draft Plan meets the basic conditions if -
 - (a) Having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the plan (see below).
 - (b) Having special regard to the desirability of preserving any listed building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest that it possesses, it is appropriate to make the order (applies in relation to a Listed Building only insofar as the order grants planning permission for development that affects the building or its setting (not applicable in respect of the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan).
 - (c) Having special regard to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the Conservation Area it is appropriate to make the order (applies in relation to Conservation Areas only) insofar as the order grants planning permission for development in relation to buildings or land in



the area (not applicable in respect of the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan)

- (d) The making of the Plan contributes to the achievement of sustainable development (see below)
- (e) The making of the Plan is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area). (see below)
- (f) The making of the Plan does not breach and is otherwise compatible with EU obligations (see below) and,
- (g) Prescribed conditions are met in relation to the plan and prescribed matters have been complied with, in connection with the proposal for the plan (see below)
- 3.3 Where applicable each of these basic conditions is addressed below. For clarification it should be noted that b) and c) above are not applicable to the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan and refer to 'Neighbourhood Orders' only.

3.a) Having regard to National Policies and Advice and e) Conformity with Strategic policies in the Development Plan

- 4.1 The following table provides an appraisal of the extent to which the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan has regard to national policy and is in general conformity with strategic local policy.
- 4.2 The Neighbourhood Plan policies were drafted to be in conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework published in February 2019. The table below assess the degree of regard that the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan policies have had to NPPF 2019 (Column B)



- 4.3 During the production of the Neighbourhood Plan, the strategic policies for the area were under-going revision as the Adopted Policies were becoming quite out of date. The Mid Suffolk Core Strategy was adopted in 2008 prior to the introduction of Neighbourhood Plans in 2011 and also before either of the National Planning Frameworks were published. A focussed review of the Core Strategy was carried out and adopted in December 2012 which did reflect the first NPPF published in March 2012 and the 2011 Localism Act. As its name suggests the scope of this review was limited and it focussed on the following:
 - i) Consequential changes to the Strategic objectives SO3 and SO6 to reflect a more proactive role in minimising carbon emissions and adaptation ,and to ensure balanced communities through the provision of necessary infrastructure provided with new development;
 - ii) A revision to the housing numbers to accommodate recent evidence and assessments;
 - iii) Revisions to the Employment Chapter to set out more up to date evidence that allows the Council to establish job targets for the District and demonstrate the need for an employment allocation in Stowmarket.
- 4.4 Therefore there are limited policies in the 2012 Review that are related to the content of the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan.
- 4.5 A further assessment has been carried out in respect of the saved policies contained in the Adopted Mid Suffolk Local Plan 1998 and the Mid Suffolk Local Plan First Alteration 2006 (Column E). Again in respect of the First Alteration there are very limited policies that are related to the content of the Neighbourhood Plan and only 2 are saved both of which relate to affordable housing.
- 4.6 At the time of submitting this Neighbourhood Development Plan policies of the emerging Joint Neighbourhood Plan are still in the relatively early stages. The replacement of the Core Strategy is the Babergh and Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan (BMSJLP) and the Preferred Options Reg18 Version was consulted on between July and September 2019. The previous consultation on the Joint Local Plan had been undertaken between August 2017 and November 2017.



- 4.7 Therefore the Policies contained within the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan have been assessed for their conformity against the existing Development Plan the Mid Suffolk Core Strategy 2008 (Column C), the Mid Suffolk Core Strategy Focussed Review 2012 (Column D) the saved policies of the Mid Suffolk Local Plan 1998 (Column E) and the First Alteration to the Mid Suffolk Local Plan 2006 (Column F). Due to the timing of the emerging Joint Local Plan, an additional table has been included which assesses the policies of the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan against the policies of the Regulation 18 Preferred Options Version of the Joint Local Plan which was published in July 2019.
- 4.8 In summary, the appraisal demonstrates that the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan has had appropriate regard to and is in general conformity with, both national and strategic policy.

Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan Policy (A)	NPPF 2019 (B)	Mid Suffolk Core Strategy 2008 (MSCS) (C)	Mid Suffolk Core Strategy Focussed Review (CSFR) 2012 (D)	Adopted Mid Suffolk Local Plan (MSLP) 1998 (E)	Mid Suffolk Local Plan First Alteration (MSLPFA) 2006 (F)
WIL1: Landscape	This policy reflects NPPF	This policy is consistent	The Mid Suffolk	Policies CL5, CL8	There is no
and Natural	para 127 b) and c) which	with Core Strategy	Core Strategy	and CL9 are key	specific
Features	require planning policies	Objectives SO4 which	focussed review	environmental	comparator policy
	to ensure that	seeks to protect,	concentrated	policies	(s)
	developments are "	manage, enhance and	largely on	contained within	
	visually attractive as a	restore the historic	refreshing the	the Adopted	
	result of "appropriate	heritage / environment	housing figures	Local Plan that	
	and effective	and the unique	for the district	seek to protect	
	landscaping"and are	character and identity	and updated	important	
	sympathetic tothe	of the towns and	employment	wildlife features	
	surrounding built	villages by ensuring that	chapter to	and habitats	
	environment and	new developments are	justify	including	
	landscape setting". In	appropriate in terms of	employment	woodlands,	
	addition paragraph 170	scale and location in the	allocations at	trees,	
	of the framework which	context of settlement	Stowmarket.	hedgerows,	
	advocates "protecting	form and character		undesignated	
	and enhancing valued		Therefore there	habitats and	
	landscapes "	Policy WIL.1 seeks to	is no specific	designated	
		protect the individual	comparator	wildlife sites.	
		character and landscape	policy for WIL.1		
		setting of Wilby	in the CSFR.	Policy WIL1 sets	
		consistent with this		out the wildlife	
		objective and with Core		features that are	
		Strategy Policy CS5			



		which seeks to protect and conserve landscape quality and promotes high quality design that respects local distinctiveness.		important to Wilby.	
		Policy CS5 also seeks to protect, manage and enhance biodiversity, including species and habitats, wildlife corridors and ecological networks.			
WIL2 Protection of	This policy reflects NPPF	This policy is consistent	There is no	This policy is	There is no
Important Views	paragraph 170 b) which	with Core Strategy	specific	consistent with	specific
	recognises the need to	Objectives SO4 which	comparator	MSLP policies	comparator policy
	contribute to and	seeks to protect,	policy in the	SB2	(s)
	enhance the intrinsic	manage, enhance and	CSFR due to the	Development	
	character and beauty of	restore the historic	limited scope of	Appropriate to	
	the countryside.	heritage / environment	the CSFR	its setting, SB3	



and the unique	Visually
character and identity	Important Open
of the towns and	Spaces and GP1
villages by ensuring that	Design and
new developments are	Layout of
appropriate in terms of	Development.
scale and location in the	The local plan
context of settlement	policies set out
form and character	design criteria
	by which new
Policy WIL.2 seeks to	development
protect important	will be
individual views that	considered and
contribute to the	this policy
character and landscape	complements
setting of Wilby	their ambitions
consistent with this	by providing a
objective and with Core	local context
Strategy Policy CS5	and identifies
which seeks to protect	important local
and conserve landscape	characteristics
quality and promotes	including
high quality design that	



		respects local distinctiveness.		important views.	
WIL3 Local Green Spaces	This policy reflects NPPF paras 99-101 which advocates "The designation of land as Local Green Space through local and neighbourhood plans allows communities to identify and protect green areas of particular importance to them". The spaces proposed for protection have been assessed against the criteria in the NPPF.	Policy WIL.3 identifies and protects two Local Green Spaces. There is no specific reference to Local Green Spaces within the adopted Core Strategy, however, Policy CS5 does refer to the importance of a high quality environment and the importance of retaining local distinctiveness.	There is no specific comparator policy in the CSFR due to the limited scope of the CSFR	This policy is consistent with MSLP policy SB3 Retaining Visually Important Open Spaces which seeks to protect spaces important for their visual qualities. WIL.3 provides a locally updated perspective and identifies two spaces to be protected which includes 2 from the 1998 MSLP	There is no specific comparator policy (s)



WIL4 Renewable	This policy is consistent	Policy CS3 of the	There is no	There are no	There is no
Energy and Future	with the NPPF Section 14	Adopted Core Strategy	specific	specific policies	specific
Sustainability	relating to climate	promotes the	comparator	in the MSLP	comparator policy
	change which urges	incorporation of	policy in the	1998 that are	(s)
	planning policies to take	measures such as grey	CSFR due to the	comparable to	
	a proactive approach to	water recycling,	limited scope of	WIL.4.	
	climate change,	adequate storage space	the CSFR		
	particularly para 151	for recycling and passive			
	which advocates the	solar gain.			
	increase of, and use of,				
	renewable and low	WIL.4 includes criteria			
	carbon energy and heat;	that supports and			
	para 153 b) in relation to	promotes solar gain,			
	new development "	grey water recycling and			
	take account of landform,	rainwater capture, air			
	layout, building	and ground source heat			
	orientation, massing and	pumps etc and is			
	landscaping to minimise	consistent with the			
	energy consumption ".	intentions of Policy CS3.			
	This policy is consistent				
	with NPPF section 14				



su in	nd para 152 which upports community-led nitiatives for renewable nd low carbon energy.		
po pr re ef	he policy provides ositive support to roposals that include enewable, energy fficiency or low carbon neasures.		



WIL5 Future	This policy is consistent	This policy is consistent	There is no	This policy is	There is no
Housing Provision	with NPPF paragraph 29	with Policy CS1 of the	specific	consistent with	specific
	which indicated that	Core Strategy which	comparator	MSLP policy H3	comparator policy
	Neighbourhood Plans	identifies Wilby as a	policy in the	– Housing	(s)
	should not promote less	Secondary Village (Tier	CSFR due to the	Development in	
	development than that	4). Secondary Villages	limited scope of	villages. The	
	set out in the strategic	are defined as "villages	the CSFR and	local plan policy	
	policies for the area. The	unsuitable for growth	the specificity	provides a	
	Neighbourhood Plan	but capable of taking	of this WNDP	presumption in	
	makes an allocation for 5	appropriate residential	policy.	favour of	
	dwellings, over and	infill and development		development	
	above the existing	for local needs only		within built up	
	commitment of 12	These villages will		area	
	dwellings that is outlined	benefit from small-scale		(settlement	
	in the emerging Joint	development to meet		boundaries)	
	Local Plan.	local needs but not the		subject to	
	This policy is consistent	level of growth		criteria and	
	with NPPF para 103	envisaged for primary		Policy WIL.5 is	
	which advocates the	settlements. Local		consistent with	
	focussing of significant	needs include		those criteria.	
	development in locations	employment, amenity			
	that " are or can be made	and community facilities			
	sustainable through	as well as small-scale			



limiting the need to	infill housing and "rural		
travelhelp to reduce	exception" sites for		
congestion and emissions	affordable housing.		
and improve air quality	Settlement boundaries		
and public health." The	will be retained around		
Neighbourhood Plan	these settlements to		
specifically allocates a	facilitate appropriate		
site for development	development, while		
	directing it to		
The policy is also	appropriate locations		
consistent with	and restricting the		
paragraph 70 which	scope and scale of		
refers to windfall sites.	development		
The policy makes a small			
allowance for windfall	WIL.5 makes an		
sites which is consistent	allocation for 5		
with past rates of	dwellings and allows for		
windfall in the parish and	further small		
therefore is realistic as	scale/windfall		
required by this	development within the		
paragraph.	settlement boundary.		
The policy also			
specifically refers to			



paragraph 79 of the framework which set out the criteria for new housing outside of the built up area and in the countryside.		



WIL6 Housing	This policy is consistent	This policy is consistent	There is no	This policy is	There is no
Allocation East of	with paragraph 29 of the	with Policy CS1 of the	specific	consistent with	specific
Stradbroke Road	framework in that it is a	Core Strategy which	comparator	MSLP policy H3	comparator policy
	specific allocation for	identifies Wilby as a	policy in the	– Housing	(s)
	housing that is consistent	Secondary Village (Tier	CSFR due to the	Development in	
	with the identification of	4) capable of	limited scope of	villages. The	
	Wilby in the strategic	accommodating small	the CSFR and	local plan policy	
	policies of the emerging	scale growth.	the specificity	provides a	
	Joint Local Plan.		of this WNDP	presumption in	
		Policy WIL6 sets out the	policy	favour of	
		detailed criteria for the		development	
		development of the site		within built up	
		including, design,		area	
		landscaping and access		(settlement	
		arrangements,		boundaries)	
		safeguarding of historic		subject to	
		environment and		criteria and	
		landscape consistent		Policy WIL.5 is	
		with Core Strategy		consistent with	
		Policy CS5.		those criteria.	
		,			
				Policy H13 of	
				the Adopted	



				Local Plan sets out the criteria for the development of new housing sites which includes, density, layout, scale, landscaping,	
				=	
				sites which	
				includes,	
				density, layout,	
				scale,	
				access and	
				protection of	
				historical	
				features.	
				The criteria	
				covered in	
				Policy WIL6 are	
				consistent with	
				those of the	
				Adopted Policy.	
WIL7 Housing Mix	This policy reflects NPPF	Policy CS9 of the Core	There is no	This policy is	MSLPFA policy H4
	para 61 which advises	Strategy "Density and	specific	consistent with	Affordable



		I	1	T
that planning policies	Mix" requires that new	comparator	MSLP policy	Housing in new
should reflect the needs	housing development	policy in the	H14 which	housing
of "those who require	should provide a mix of	CSFR due to the	seeks a range of	developments
affordable housing,	house types, sizes and	limited scope of	house types to	seeks to negotiate
families with children,	affordability to cater for	the CSFR and	meet different	up to 35% of
older people,	different	the specificity	accommodation	housing to be
students, people with	accommodation needs.	of this WNDP	needs.	affordable on sites
disabilities, service		policy		of 5 or more. This
families, travellers,	Policy WIL6 positively		Policy WIL.7	requirement has
people who rent their	encourages a wide		supports the	been superseded
homes and people	range of types of		criteria in the	by the NPPF in
wishing to commission or	housing that meet local		policy which has	relation to the
build their own homes).	needs and makes		been developed	threshold for 10
	special provision for		through	dwellings.
The policy is based on	those types of housing		consultation	However, WII.7
consultation carried out	identified through		with local	specifically
with local residents and	consultation e.g.		residents.	identifies
specifically refers to	housing for families,			affordable
families, including	smaller family/ starter			housing.
smaller end family	homes, affordable			
housing, starter homes,	housing and lifetime			
lifetime homes and	homes			
affordable housing.				



WIL8 Well	This policy reflects NPPF	Policy CS5 of the Core	There is no	This policy is	There is no
Designed	para 127 which sets out	Strategy requires that:	specific	consistent with	specific
Development	the design criteria that	"Development will be of	comparator	MSLP policies	comparator policy
	development should	a high quality design	policy in the	SB2	(s)
	meet for example	that respects the local	CSFR due to the	Development	(-)
	"visually attractive as a	distinctiveness and the	limited scope of	Appropriate to	
	result of good	built heritage of Mid	the CSFR and	its setting and	
	architecture , layout and	Suffolk, enhancing the	the specificity	GP1 Design and	
	appropriate and effective	character and	of this WNDP	Layout of New	
	landscaping"	appearance of the	policy	Developments	
	"sympathetic to local	district. It should create	' '	which provides	
	character and history,	visual interest within		the design	
	including the surrounding	the street scene".		criteria for	
	built environment and			assessing	
	landscape setting while	Policy WIL8 sets out		development	
	not preventing or	design criteria that need		proposals.	
	discouraging appropriate	to be met in order to		WIL.8 provides	
	innovation or	create the high quality		a locally	
	change""create places	design required by		updated	
	that are safe,	Policy CS5.		dimension	
	inclusivewith a high			which includes	
	standard of amenityand	Policy WIL.8 is		specific criteria	
	where crime and the fear	consistent with Core		that have been	



	of crime do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion or resilience" The policy contains clear criteria relating to density, scale, local character, landscaping, wildlife, layout, amenity, trees and hedgerows, Secure by Design, parking and storage	Strategy Objectives SO4 which seeks to protect, manage, enhance and restore the historic heritage / environment and the unique character and identity of the towns and villages by ensuring that new developments are appropriate in terms of scale and location in the context of settlement form and character.		developed through consultation with local people	
WIL9 Non Designated Heritage Assets	This policy reflects NPPF para 197 which outlines the approach to assessing the impact of applications on nondesignated heritage assets. "In weighing	There is no specific reference in the Adopted Core Strategy to non- designated heritage assets however, Core Strategy Objective SO4 seeks to	There is no specific comparator policy in the CSFR due to the limited scope of the CSFR and	This policy is consistent with MSLP policy HB1 Protection of Historic Buildings. The MSLP provides	There is no specific comparator policy (s)



applications that directly	manage, enhance and	the specificity	a strategic
or indirectly affect non-	restore the historic	of this WNDP	policy for
designated heritage	heritage/environment	policy	protecting the
assets, a balanced	and ensure new		character and
judgement will be	development is		appearance of
required having regard to	appropriate in terms of		buildings of
the scale of any harm or	scale, location and		architectural or
loss and the significance	character.		historic interest.
of the heritage asset."			WIL.9 provides
	Policy WIL.9 provides		a locally
	specific detail on the		updated
	architectural, cultural		dimension and
	and historical		defines a
	significance of unlisted		number of
	buildings in Wilby		specific
			buildings as
			non-designated
			heritage assets



WIL10 Community Facilities	This policy is consistent with paragraph 8b of the NPPF which outlines the social objective of the NPPF and specifically highlights the need for "accessible services and open spaces that reflect current and future needs and supports communities' health, social and cultural wellbeing" This policy is consistent with NPPF para 92 which states "To provide the social, recreational and cultural facilities and services the community needs, planning policies and decisions should:	Policy WIL10 seeks to safeguard the existing community facilities in Wilby but also recognises that the needs of the community may change during the plan period and that a need for new or improved facilities may be identified.	There is no specific comparator policy in the CSFR due to the limited scope of the CSFR and the specificity of this WNDP policy	This policy is consistent with the aims of a number of MSLP policies including RT1 Sports and Recreational facilities, RT2 Loss of existing sports and recreation facilities, RT3 Protecting recreational open space and development of types of community facilities. FRES.4 seeks to protect existing community	There is no specific comparator policy (s)
----------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------



provishare come (such mee venu cultur house worst service environment of the police retail come also crite 92 w	an positively for the rision and use of ed spaces, munity facilities has local shops, ting places, sports ues, open space, ural buildings, public ses and places of ship) and other local ices to enhance the ainability of munities and dential ronments;" first part of the cy which seeks to in existing munity facilities is consistent with prion c of paragraph which urges planning cies to "guard against"		facilities consistent with the aims of the MSLP policies as well as encouraging new facilities.	
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--



t	the unnecessary loss of		
	valued facilities and		
S	services, particularly		
	where this would reduce		
t	the community's ability		
	to meet its day to day		
	needs".		



Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan Policy	Babergh-Mid Suffolk Joint Local Plan – REG18 Preferred Options Version July 2019 (JLP)
WIL1 Landscape and Natural	This policy reflects JLP Policy LP24 which refers to the need for new development to be
Features	harmonious in its location and respond to the existing character and context.
	This policy reflects JLP policy LP18 Landscape which requires development to be sensitive to its setting and encourages the enhancement and protections of areas of high landscape value. Where development is proposed its visual appearance, siting and lighting are identified as important factors
WIL2 Protection of Important Views	This policy reflects JLP policy LP18 Landscape which requires development to be sensitive to its setting and encourages the enhancement and protections of areas of high landscape value. Where development is proposed its visual appearance, siting and lighting are identified as important factors.
WIL3 Local Green Spaces	This policy reflects JLP paragraph 16.03 which refers to the designation of Local Green Space in neighbourhood Plans.



WIL4 Renewable Energy and Future Sustainability	This policy is consistent with JLP Policy SP10 Climate Change which encourages renewable energies and low carbon technology. It is also consistent with Policy LP23 – Sustainable Construction and Design which highlights the importance of energy efficiency measures and design principles such as orientation for solar gain. para 153 b) in relation to new development. The policy provides positive support to proposals that include renewable, energy efficiency or low carbon measures.
WIL5 Future Housing Provision	This policy is consistent with Joint Local Plan Policy SP03 Settlement Hierarchy which identifies Wilby as a Mid Suffolk Hinterland village. Hinterland villages are expected to provide around 894 dwellings within the Plan period. The policy allows for a small allocation of 5 dwellings. However, since the publication of the JLP Preferred Options, Mid Suffolk have confirmed that a mistake was made in the settlement hierarchy matrix from Wilby and that points (2) relating to retail had been awarded when in fact no retail exists. It would therefore be expected that this reduction in points in the settlement hierarchy matrix from 9 to 7 would most likely lead to Wilby being identified in future iteration of the JLP as a hamlet (it is identified as a hamlet in the Adopted Core Strategy). Hamlet villages are expected to provide around 261 dwellings within the plan period (of which 143 already have outline planning permission). Policy SP03 allows for development in hamlet villages subject to criteria governing design, landscaping and cumulative impacts.



	This policy is also consistent with the housing allocation shown in the table on page 41 of the Joint Local Plan which indicates a figure of 12 dwellings for Wilby. The NDP makes provision for around 16 dwellings.
WIL6 Housing Allocation; Land east of Stradbroke Road	This policy is consistent with Policy SP03 of the JLP Preferred Options which allows for development in hamlet villages subject to criteria governing design, landscaping and cumulative impacts. Policy WIL6 contains criteria that relate specifically to the development of this site. These include access, landscaping, heritage impact, layout and design.
WIL7 Housing Mix	This policy is consistent with paragraph 06.08 of the emerging Joint Local Plan which indicates that the greatest need for housing is for 2,3 and 4 bedroomed accommodation. Policy WIL.7 specifically refers to 2-3 bedrooms for Wilby. The policy is also consistent with JLP policy SP02 Affordable Housing which seeks to maximise affordable housing provision on qualifying sites. Policy WIL.7 is also consistent with JLP Policy LP06 which allows for specific types of housing to accommodate specific needs including lifetime homes. WIL.7 is based on consultation carried out with local residents and specifically refers to homes at the smaller end family of housing, starter homes and affordable housing.
WIL8 Well Designed Development	This policy is consistent with JLP Policy LP24 in that both seek high quality design, which takes account of design elements such as scale, height, massing and density together with residential amenity issues such as parking, landscaping and designing out crime.



	The policies contain clear criteria relating to density, landscaping, wildlife, layout, amenity, trees and hedgerows, Secure by Design, parking and storage.
WIL9 Non Designated Heritage Assets	This policy is consistent with JLP Policy LP20 The Historic Environment which recognises the contribution that non designated heritage assets can make to the character of an area and its sense of place. It seeks to provide criteria for assessing the impact of applications on non-designated heritage assets.
WIL10 Community Facilities	This policy is consistent with JLP Policy LP29 which supports the provision of new community facilities and also protects existing facilities unless criteria relating to viability and alternative provision can be satisfied.

5 d) Achieving Sustainable Development

- 5.1 The NPPF 2019 states that the purpose of the planning system is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. At a very high level the objective of sustainable development can be summarised as meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. The appraisal of the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan policies against NPPF policies presented above demonstrates how polices in the Neighbourhood Plan comply with the NPPF and therefore deliver sustainable development.
- 5.2. The NPPF states that the planning system has three overarching objectives which are interdependent and need to be pursued in mutually supportive ways (so that opportunities can be taken to secure net gains across each of the different objectives.

Economic, social and environmental objectives

- 5.3 These objectives give rise to the need for the planning system to perform a number of roles as defined by the NPPF and set out below.
- 5.4 The objectives and policies contained within the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan contribute towards each of these three objectives and cumulatively contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. How they achieve this is summarised below, Unsurprisingly, there is a degree of cross-over between policies and many contribute to more than one of the sustainable development objectives e.g. WIL8 Well Designed Development which contributes to both social and environmental objectives.
- 5.5 The plan has been formulated with Sustainable Development at its heart. The first exhibition held in November 2018, sought to establish the vision and highlight key issues; the exhibition material grouped draft objectives and key issues under three clear headings— Environmental Objectives, Economic Objectives and Social Objectives. The vision itself refers to managing changes to 'amenities and the built environment to be managed pro-actively, creatively

-

¹ Resolution 42/187 of the United Nations General Assembly



and *sustainably t*o the benefit of all those living here, working here or passing through'.

Our Vision for Wilby

"Our vision for future Wilby sees the rural beauty of its natural environment preserved, with change to its amenities and built environment managed pro-actively, creatively and sustainably to the benefit of all those living here, working here, visiting or passing through"

- 5.6 The first set of objectives produced for the November 2018 exhibition covered the economic, environmental and community dimensions:
 - To protect the best elements of the natural and built environments
 - To ensure that development within the plan area is sensitive, proportionate and sustainable.
 - To ensure that the rural nature of the parish is maintained, supported and encouraged, safeguarding the natural environment, wildlife in the area and minimising all forms of pollution.
 - To encourage cohesion of the whole parish by providing sufficient and suitable facilities, including support for the vibrant functioning of the school, the church and the community hall.
- 5.6 These objectives have been refined down to three specific objectives through consultation at the exhibitions held in November 2018, March 2019 and the presubmission consultation undertaken between January and March 2020. The resulting objectives each support development whilst recognising the important of safeguarding and enhancing the special qualities that contribute to the specific character of Wilby.
- 5.7 The following table helps to further demonstrate the Plan's comprehensive contribution to sustainable development.



NPPF Sustainable	Contribution through Wilby Neighbourhood Plan
Development	Policies
NPPF 2019 An economic objective: to help build a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right types is available in the right places, and at the right time to support growth, innovation and improved productivity; and by identifying and co-ordinating the provision of infrastructure.	Objective 2: To preserve the best elements for the heritage and built environment and allow for sensitive, proportionate and sustainable development within the Plan area. This objective allows for development of the right type and in the right place to take place. WIL5: Housing Provision. This policy seeks to meet the housing needs in the parish and identifies where future development will take place.
NPPF 2019 A social objective: to support strong, vibrant and healthy communities by ensuring that a sufficient number and range of homes can be provided to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by fostering a well-designed and safe built environment, with accessible services and open	Objective 2: To preserve the best elements of the heritage and built environment and allow for sensitive, proportionate and sustainable development within the plan area. This objective seeks high standards of design and a safe built environment. Objective 3: To encourage cohesion of the whole parish and promote community wellbeing by providing sufficient and suitable facilities, including support for the vibrant functioning of the school, the church and the community hall. This objective seeks to safeguard
spaces that reflect current and future needs and support communities' health, social and cultural well-being; and	existing community services and facilities whilst ensuring that the wellbeing of the community is supported. WIL3: Local Green Spaces This policy seeks to protect specific open spaces that are demonstrably special to the local community WIL5 – Housing Provision. This policy seeks to meet the housing needs in the parish and identifies through a specific allocation where future development will take place.



WIL6 – Housing Allocation East of Stradbroke Road. This policy sets out specific criteria for the development of a site for 5 dwellings, east of Stradbroke Road.

WIL7: Housing Mix. This policy supports the provision of housing and seeks to ensure that appropriate housing is provided to meet the needs of current and future generations

WIL8: Well Designed Development This policy supports the health and wellbeing of the community by promoting developments that are safe, well designed and accessible and meet the needs of the local community.

WIL10: Community Facilities The policy seeks to protect existing community facilities where they are viable and supports the provision of new community buildings where a need is identified.

•

NPPF 2019

An environmental role: to contribute to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; including making effective use of land, helping to improve biodiversity, using natural resources prudently, minimising waste and pollution, and mitigating and adapting to climate change, including moving to a low carbon economy.

Objective 1: To ensure that the rural nature of the parish is maintained, supported and encouraged, whilst safeguarding and enhancing the natural environment and wildlife in the area and minimising all forms of pollution. This objective recognises the importance of biodiversity and the need to use natural resources wisely whilst minimising pollution.

WIL1: Landscape and Natural Features Landscape. This policy seeks to protect and enhance the landscape and natural features that are important to the character of Wilby. It seeks to create new habitats and restore and repair fragmented habitats.

WIL2: Protection of Important Public Local Views This policy seeks to enhance the landscape and settlement edges of the parish through protecting important views from inappropriate development



WIL3: Local Green Spaces. This policy seeks to protect specific spaces within the parish that have a community value either through their visual appearance, historic recreation or cultural value.

WIL4: Renewable Energy and Future Sustainability. This policy seeks to aid in the mitigation and adaptation to climate change through promoting the minimum use of resources in both construction and operation of buildings and support for measures that re-use water and incorporate solar gain.

WIL8 – Well Designed Development . This policy contributes to protecting and enhancing the built environment by promoting the creation of high quality developments, with a high standard of design, safe environments and the designing out of crime

WIL9: Non-designated heritage assets. The policy contributes to the protection and enhancement of the built and historic environment through the identification of Important local buildings for additional protection.



6. f) Compatibility with EU Obligations

- 6.1 The statement below demonstrates how the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan does not breach and is compatible with EU obligations.
- 6.2 Mid Suffolk District Council recommends that the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) and Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) Screening processes be undertaken at Pre-Submission stage. The HRA Screening Assessment was carried out by Place Services and the SEA Screening Assessment was undertaken was undertaken by LUC; both were carried out in February 2020.
- 6.3 The SEA Screening Report takes into account the latest regulations, guidance and court judgements relating to this area including CJEU People Over Wind v Coillte Teoranta C-323/17 which rules that mitigation measures cannot be taken into account when carrying out a screening assessment to decide whether a plan or project is likely to result in significant effects on a Habitats site. The HRA Screening Report therefore does not consider mitigation measures within the assessment of Likely Significant Effects resulting from the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan. The Screening report also considers the impact of the judgement CJEU Holohan C- 461/17, which imposes more detailed requirements in the competent authority at Appropriate Assessment Stage.
- 6.4 Mid Suffolk District Council undertook the SEA Screening Process in February and March 2020 . The SEA screening report produced by LUC concluded that:
 - "it is considered that the Wilby NDP will not have significant environmental effects and that SEA is therefore not required"
- 6.5 The report's recommendation bring that the Wilby Neighbourhood Development Plan can be 'screened out' for its requirement of Strategic Environmental Assessment in line with the requirements of Directive 2001/42/EC
- 6.6 In addition, the HRA screening report produced by Place Services concluded that :



"Subject to Natural England's review, this HRA Screening Report concludes that the Regulation 14 draft Wilby Neighbourhood Plan is not predicted to have any Likely Significant Effect on any Habitats site, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects.

The content of the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan has therefore been **screened out** for any further assessment and Mid Suffolk DC can demonstrate its compliance with the UK Habitats Regulations 2017"..

- 6.7 In March 2020, both Screening Reports were the subject of consultation with the three environmental bodies – Environment Agency, Historic England and Natural England. The consultation was undertaken by Mid Suffolk District Council.
- 6.8 In their formal responses, Natural England and the Environment Agency confirmed that they were in agreement with the conclusions of both the SEA and HRA Screening Reports. Consequently in June 2020, Mid Suffolk issued an HRA Screening Direction which concluded that:

"In the light of the Screening Report prepared by Place Services and the responses from Natural England it is determined that the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan does not require further assessment under the Habitats Regulations 2017".

- 6.9 Historic England, who only have an interest in the SEA report raised concerns about the potential for impacts upon the setting of the Grade 1 Listed Church from the development of the proposed allocation. They recommended that a full SEA was required but that this should be proportionate and focus on the potential heritage impacts that could arise from the development of the proposed allocation east of Stradbroke Road. This was disappointing given that Historic England had not raised any objections to the content of the Neighbourhood Plan during the Regulation 14 consultation which had concluded only days earlier.
- 6.10 Subsequent discussions with Historic England revealed that there was no in principle objection to the proposed allocation, and resulted in an agreement that a Site Heritage Impact Assessment (SHIA) of the proposed allocation should



be undertaken and that the assessment should focus on the impact of the setting of the church from proposed development Such assessment should be carried out following Historic England's specific Guidance on the setting of historic assets. The Site Heritage Impact Assessment would then form an appendix to the NDP and its recommendations would be included within the main body of the plan and the policy wording.

- 6.11 Historic England indicated that subject to the production of the Site Heritage Impact Assessment they would then remove their holding concerns and Mid Suffolk would be able to issue the Screening Directions
- 6.12 In July 2020, the Site Heritage Impact Assessment was completed and Historic England confirmed in an email of 27th July 2020 that they were satisfied with its conclusions and recommendations in respect of changes to Policy WIL6 and therefore were content for MSDC to issue a Screening Direction,
- 6.13 Consequently MSDC issued the SEA Screening Direction on 28th July 2020 and this features alongside this Basic Conditions Statement and the Site Heritage Impact Assessment as a Submission Document.
- 6.14 The SEA Screening Direction issued by MSDC on 28th July 2020, concluded that:
 - "In response to the above, Historic England issued an update to their original SEA Screening Report response which now confirms that they **no longer** consider it likely that the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan will result in Significant Effects on the historic environment, and that therefore an SEA can certainly be screened out from our perspective".
- 6.15 In addition, the Neighbourhood Plan has regard to the fundamental rights and freedoms guaranteed under the European Convention on Human Rights and complies with the Human Rights Act 1998. The accompanying Consultation Statement sets out the process followed in terms of community involvement.



7. g) Prescribed matters

7.1 An additional basic condition is prescribed under Regulation 32 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 as follows:

"The making of the Neighbourhood Development Plan is not likely to have any significant effect on a European Site (as defined in the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (2)) or a European offshore marine site (as defined in the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c) Regulations 2007 (3)), (either alone or in combination with other plans and projects)".

7.2 Natural England has been consulted on the pre-submission version of the Wilby Neighbourhood Plan and has contributed to the SEA and HRA Screening Opinions. There are few national and international designations within close proximity to Wilby and the Wilby Parish Council therefore considers that the Neighbourhood Plan meets the additional prescribed basic condition.