BABERGH and MID SUFFOLK DISTRICT COUNCILS NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLANS: LEGAL COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST REDGRAVE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN



	Reference	Test	Comments	Legally Compliant?
1	Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 Schedule 4B, Paragraph 5	Is the plan proposal a 'repeat' submission? (i.e., has the District Council refused a submission under Paragraph 12 or Section 61E or has it failed at Referendum?)	The Redgrave Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) is not a 'repeat' submission.	Yes
2	Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 Schedule 4B, Paragraph 6 (2)(a) and Section 61F	Is the body who submitted the Plan a qualifying body for the purposes of making a neighbourhood development plan?	The qualifying body is Redgrave Parish Council.	Yes
3	Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 Schedule 4B, Paragraph 6 (2)(b) and Section 61F	Does the plan proposal comply with other relevant provisions made under Section 61F?	The Redgrave NDP complies with other relevant provisions made under Section 61F.	Yes
4	Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 Schedule 4B, Paragraph 6 (2)(c) and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Reg' (as amended) – Regulation 15	Have the qualifying body submitted the following in a satisfactory form: (i) A Map or statement identifying the area to which the Plan relates;	The qualifying body has submitted: (a) A Map identifying the area to which the Plan relates	Yes
		(ii) A Consultation Statement setting out who was consulted; how they were consulted; the main issues which arose and how these have been considered and where relevant addressed in the proposed N'hood development plan under Reg 15 (2)(a);	(b) A Consultation Statement which summarises the main issues and concerns raised and how these have been considered and addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.	Yes

		T		1
		(iii) The proposed neighbourhood development plan;	(c) The proposed Neighbourhood Development Plan	Yes
		(iv) A statement explaining how the neighbourhood development plan meets the 'Basic Conditions' (i.e. the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 Schedule 4B, Paragraph 8 (2)); and	(d) A 'Basic Conditions' Statement (NB: It is the Independent Examiners role to determine whether the Plan meets the 'Basic Conditions', not the District Council)	Yes
	The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 as amended by Schedule 2 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations, 2012; and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017	(v) EITHER an environmental report prepared in accordance prepared in accordance with Paragraph (2) and (3) of Regulation 12 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans & Programmes Regulations 2004) OR a Statement of Reasons for determination under Regulation 9(1) of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 that the Plan is unlikely to have significant environmental effects.	(e) The Qualifying Body has submitted a Strategic Environmental Assessment Report in accordance with Paragraph (2) and (3) of the Environmental Assessment of Plans & Programmes Regulations (2004).	Yes
		(vi) Where required, information to enable an Appropriate Assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017.	(f) A HRA Screening Assessment was carried out and the statutory body concurred with the finding that the Redgrave NDP is not predicted to have any likely significant effects on a Habitats Site. Mid Suffolk District Council issued a Determination Notice to this effect in November 2020.	Yes
5	Town and Country Planning Act, 1990 Schedule 4B, Paragraph 6 (2)(d) and the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations, 2012 – Regulation 15 (2)(a).	Has the qualifying body complied with the requirements of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990, Schedule 4B and the Regulations regarding the scope of pre-submission consultation?	The qualifying body has complied with the requirements of the regulations as evidenced within its submitted consultation statement.	Yes

6	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004 Section 38A (2)	Does the Plan meet the definition of a 'Neighbourhood Development Plan' in that it sets out policies in relation to the development and use of land in the neighbourhood area?	The Redgrave NDP meets the definition of a 'Neighbourhood Development Plan'.	Yes
7	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004 Section 38B (1)(a)	Does the 'Neighbourhood Development Plan' (as defined under Section 38A) specify the time period for which it is to have effect?	The Plan period is given as 2018 to 2037.	Yes
8	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004 Section 38B (1)(b)	Does the 'Neighbourhood Development Plan' (as defined under Section 38A) include any provisions relating to 'excluded development' as defined by Section 61K of the Town and Country Planning Act, 1990?	The Redgrave NDP does not contain any policies or proposals relating to 'excluded development'.	Yes
9	Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act, 2004 Section 38B (1)(c)	Does the 'Neighbourhood Development Plan' (as defined under Section 38A) relate to more than one neighbourhood area?	The Redgrave NDP does not relate to more than one neighbourhood area.	Yes

Dated: 24 May 2021