MSDC Biodiversity Action Plan 2025 - 2030

Priority Habitats

Arable Field Margins and Hedgerows

| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Threatened by changes in agricultural practice, lack of management or replacement and increased chemical use | Influence landowners directly and through partnership working with Woodland Trust (e.g. MoreHedges / MoreWoods scheme), SWT, FWAG and Catchment Sensitive Farming Advisers | Provide free hedging plants and trees via our free trees, hedges and wildflower scheme, where there is public access / benefit Work in partnership with organisations that can help deliver schemes where they are best suited to do so | Area of hedgerow increases over time (using regional / national data sets) Area of hedgerow increases over time (using regional / national data sets) | Number of schemes supported / length of hedgerow supported annually Number of schemes supported / length of hedgerow supported annually | Limited opportunity to help directly as most agricultural land is not publicly accessible and there are schemes already intended to deliver this. May not be the best use of our resources if others can do this better |

| | Calcareous Grassland | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | |
| fragmentation, poor landowners directly and agricultural intensification and invasive species habitat. Influent landowners directly and through partnership working with Woodland Trust SWT, FWAG and | directly and through partnership | Purchase land to create / restore / protect calcareous grassland. | Purchase of suitable site(s) | Area of calcareous grassland / under good management / in favourable condition annually | Our role on non-council land may be mostly focussed on identifying where habitat is at risk or help needed, then putting the landowner in touch with sources to help improve management | | |
| | Sensitive Farming Advisers. Seek opportunities for land purchase to safeguard habitat. Encourage | Management of our meadow areas | Increase area under meadow management towards 30% by 2030 | Area under meadow management annually | Public support can be lacking in some areas, sometimes as a result of poor management of general open spaces | | |
| traditional management or natural regeneration. | Safeguard through planning process | No loss of calcareous grassland to development | Area of calcareous grassland lost to development = 0 annually | Could be difficult to capture data | | | |
| | | Work in partnership with organisations that can help deliver schemes where they are best suited to do so | Increase in area under appropriate management | Area under appropriate management annually | Baseline data difficult to capture. May be limited to sites within CWSs or other designation, where periodic assessment is carried out | | |

| | Lowland Meadows | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | |
| Threatened by improvement, neglect, economic factors, changes in agricultural use and requirements, | regime for managing council-owned land and seek new opportunities for meadow creation. | Expansion of meadow management areas on council land | Increase in area under meadow management towards 30% by 2030 | Area under meadow management | Continued expansion requires both more and different equipment for correct management. Cost of disposal of arisings high. Look at alternatives to reduce cost | | |
| tables and eutrophication | ables and through Planning | Increased public awareness and information on meadow management Meadow retention and creation | Increased public support | Reduction in the number of complaints year on year | Dependent on ability to manage open spaces correctly to avoid confusion Could be difficult to | | |
| | | through planning process | wildflower meadows to development | meadows lost to development = 0 annually | capture data | | |
| | | Make available our meadow management equipment for use on non-council land | More meadows under appropriate management | Number / area of non-council meadows supported / under | Staffing may be an issue as meadow cutting likely required at the same time as we do our own. | | |
| | | Working with partner organisations who can assist in management of non-council meadows | | improved management annually | Engagement and willingness to cooperate | | |
| | | Look at meadow management cluster, hay and grazing exchange schemes to help parishes and private landowners manage their meadow areas correctly | | Number of parishes involved annually | | | |

| Open Mosaic (and Natural Regenerating Habitat) | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | |
| At risk due to perception these sites are priority for development or improvement, and due to lack of management leading to loss of open areas | Identify opportunities for natural regeneration on disused land or low-grade agricultural land, directly and working with landowners, stakeholders and partners. Ask Planning Team for briefing on Port One land. | Purchase land for habitat creation or retention Identify existing council land suitable for habitat creation Work in partnership with organisations that can help deliver schemes where they are best suited to do so | Increase in open mosaic habitat | Area of open mosaic or natural / assisted generation habitat supported on council land annually Area of open mosaic or natural / assisted generation habitat supported within district annually | Public concern over food security where agricultural land is taken out of production. Public perception of neglect / weed spread. On brownfield sites, this may prevent development seen as the best use of the site | |

| | Ponds | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | |
| Threatened by pollution and ha nutrification, infilling, invasive species, recreational use and lowered and vater table | Identify existent habitat and develop strategy for habitat management or enhancement. Identify opportunities to fund pond | Improved management of council ponds, perhaps via management plan | All council-owned ponds under appropriate management | Number of council ponds with management plan Condition surveys of council ponds pre- and post-management intervention | Public concerns that ponds are dangerous and need to be fenced off or filled in Inability to control impacts of offsite management e.g. runoff from fields | | |
| | creation. Share guidance on pond management with homeowners. Identify opportunities for pond creation in Planning and BNG. | Creation of new ponds in suitable locations | More ponds on council land | Number of ponds managed by the council | Requirement for planning permission for engineering works, which may also trigger BNG delivery | | |
| | | Encourage pond creation via planning process | More ponds across the district | Number of ponds created within new development | Cost to developer, though could benefit BNG delivery | | |
| | | Work in partnership with organisations that can help deliver schemes where they are best suited to do so Provide advice on pond management to parishes, possibly supported via funding opportunities | More ponds under good management / in good condition | Number of private / non-council ponds supported annually | Opinions on what makes a pond "good" can differ, with some more focussed on aesthetics than biodiversity | | |

| | Traditional Orchards | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | | |
| loss due to councils development and conversion to other uses, in particular grazing, changes councils commun groups to and estal orchards improve a | Work with parish councils and community groups to create and establish new orchards, and improve and manage existing | Plant scattered and community orchards on council land in suitable locations / where there is local demand | More orchards, more community orchards, more trees of local heritage | Number of trees planted annually Number of community orchards on council land | Some opposition to community orchards near houses, due to fears over wasps on fallen fruit, fallen fruit making paths unsafe, dogs eating fallen fruit, or children throwing | | | |
| viability and poor management | ones. | Encourage community management of our community orchards | Increased public engagement | Number of groups managing community orchards | fallen fruit | | | |
| | | Consider creation of community orchards to act as clone bank for local varieties | Prevent loss of local heritage varieties | Number of heritage varieties in clone bank | | | | |
| | | Provide free fruit trees (ideally local heritage varieties) under the free trees, hedging and wildflower scheme and Tree For Life | Increase in number of fruit trees across district | Number of trees given out | | | | |
| | | Encourage fruit tree planting / orchard creation within new development | Increase in fruit trees / orchards across the district | Number of fruit trees planted | | | | |
| | | Retain existing orchard habitat within development sites | No loss of existing orchards | Number of orchards lost to development = 0 | Difficult to prevent pre- application clearance – use of TPOs | | | |

| Support SCC and | STWN work to | More local | Number of | Lack of suitable venues |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| create communit | ty orchards and | production of fruit | community | |
| run grafting cours | ses to produce | trees (and other | nurseries | |
| more fruit trees o | on suitable | native trees and | supported | |
| rootstocks, of loc | cal varieties | hedging) | | |
| | | | Number of grafting | |
| | | | courses | |
| | | | supported | |
| Identify traditions | al orchards on | More orchards | Number of | Engagement with |
| private land and | sources of | under appropriate | orchards | landowners |
| support for appro | priate | management | supported | |
| management | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Campaign to ider | ntify rare, | More local | Number of trees | |
| important or unic | que fruit trees | heritage varieties | identified | |
| within the district | t, to help retain | identified | | |
| them within the l | andscape | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Priority Species

Swifts

| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--|--|
| Red List species | Provide more roosting opportunities | Retrospectively incorporate swift boxes onto council properties | Increase in swift population | Number of boxes installed on council properties | Encouraging use of boxes by swifts often difficult Public concerns over |
| | | Incorporation of swift boxes and bricks in new developments via planning system | | Number of boxes / bricks installed in new buildings | droppings Ensuring boxes and |
| | | Promote use of swift boxes on private property | | Number of boxes / bricks installed in existing buildings | bricks are installed in accordance with planning permission / conditions |
| | | Promote recording of swifts and submission of records to SBIS | | Increase in number of records for swifts | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Hedgehogs | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | | |
| Red List species | Provide more habitat for foraging and improved species mobility | Management of our land for wildflower areas, scrub, woodland, ponds, etc. under priority habitats will also benefit this species | Halt decline in hedgehog population | Area of council land under management to support hedgehogs | Public opposition to appearance of areas, where perception is tidiness is best | | | |
| | | Ensuring hedgehog access holes are provided within fencing on new developments via planning system | | Number of planning applications incorporating hedgehog holes in fencing | Ensuring compliance with planning permission / conditions | | | |
| | | BNG in planning system should help provide suitable habitat within development sites | | Number of BNG sites delivered | | | | |
| | | Promote measures to make gardens more hedgehog friendly | | Number of Comms posts | Public desire for tidiness and concerns over vermin | | | |
| | | Promote recording of hedgehogs and submission of records to SBIS | | SBIS records | Public engagement | | | |

| | Bumble Bees | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | |
| risk of extinction. 8 are Priority range of nec | Provide a wide range of nectar sources across as much of the year as possible | Meadow management and scattered orchards will help on our land | Halt decline in bumble bee population | Number of trees and shrubs planted Area of wildflower meadow created | Public resistance to anything but tidy mown grass Lack of space for tree planting due to public | | |
| | | Creation of new wildflower areas and used of native trees and shrubs within new development, via the planning system | | Number of applications with new wildflower areas and using native planting | opposition, services and conflicting land use. | | |
| | | Continuation of free trees, hedging and wildflower scheme to provide native trees and shrubs, fruit trees and wildflower seed to towns, parishes and communities | | Number of trees, hedgerow plants and area of wildflower seed given out | Successful establishment and management | | |
| | | Toads | | | | | |
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | |
| Priority species | Improve habitat for the species, both terrestrial and aquatic | Pond creation and restoration will assist, alongside terrestrial habitat on our land Work on ponds under priority habitats will benefit toads, alongside management of terrestrial habitat | Halt the decline in the toad population | Number of ponds restored and created | Public safety concerns Introduction of non- native / invasive species and fish | | |

| | Native Black Poplar | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Status | Strategy | Actions | Target | KPIs | Viability | | |
| Most endangered native timber tree | Maintain the species in the landscape and increase genetic diversity | Plant trees on suitable locations on our land Distribute trees from STWN to | Increase the number of trees and genetic diversity within the districts | Number of trees planted on our land | Ensuring males and females can be planted close together on sites to allow seeding | | |
| | | landowners with suitable sites | | given out to landowners | | | |
| | | Promote search for trees across district | | Number of trees recorded | Access on to private land where trees are | | |
| | | Identify and record new and existing trees across the district | | | spotted | | |
| | | Cover cost of DNA testing for new trees to determine if they are true, which clone and, if lucky, identify new clones | | Number of trees tested | Permission to collect material from landowner | | |