## Mendlesham Neighbourhood Plan - REG16 Consultation

Comments by Mendlesham Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group (MNPG) on REG 16 representations. October 2021

Serial	Respondent	Mendlesham Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group comment
(1)	Suffolk County Council	MP1 Housing to include "Proposals for new developments should consider sustainable and active modes of travel as a priority, such as walking and cycling, through interconnected and safe walking routes, and secure cycle storage". No objection.
		MP4 Business to include "Proposals to develop small business hubs within the parish will be supported where they do not compromise the rural setting or adversely affect neighbour amenity. Hubs should connect to existing walking and cycling infrastructure and should include cycle storage in order to enable sustainable and active transport options". No objection.
(2)	Mid Suffolk District Council	<ul> <li>Table - Page 35</li> <li>In the second row, change the title to read "Outstanding Commitments at 1.4.18. No objection.</li> <li>Move the Old Engine Meadow entry (M/4242/OUT) to sit with the other commitments. No objection.</li> <li>Retain the two other commitments as shown but with the following additions (red text): No objection</li> </ul>
		EXISTING COMMITMENTS  DC/18/03147/OUT Land South of Glebe Way 28 DC/19/05915/OUT Land North East of Chapel Road 20  - For the Land South of Glebe Way' entry under Site Allocations, it might be helpful to add in brackets '(the remainder of the site)' given that this is both part existing commitment (28 dwellings) and part allocation (47 dwellings) which, together, make up the approx. 75 dwellings referred to in the emerging JLP allocation ref # LA073.No objection.
		MP1 Housing: No objection to proposed changes with the exception of the "preference for incremental growth of up to 20 dwellings" which we wish to retain.
		MP2 Access to Affordable Housing: No objection to proposed changes for the first paragraph. Objection to deleting second and third paragraphs and proposed replacement.
		MP3 Provision of Affordable Housing: No objection to proposed changes.
		MP5 Historic Environment: No objection to proposed changes.
		Parking Standards ( page 48 ). No objection to proposed changes. No objection to the move to MP6.
		MP9 (Local Green Spaces) Comment 1 : No objection.

(2)	Notural England	No objection to reconsidering the presentation of the maps to see if there is any improvement to be made.  Comment 2: No objection  Paragraph 9.1 : Noted, no objection.
(3)	Natural England	Noted
(4)	Suffolk Wildlife Trust	MP8 Green Areas Wording to be in line with NPPF (2021) with reference to "biodiversity networks" to be changed to "ecological networks". No objection.  Previous recommendation comments regarding further policies and work. Noted for future revisions of the NP. No action for this revision.
(5)	Historic England	Noted
(6)	Highways England	Noted
(7)	Water Management Alliance	Noted
(8)	National Grid (via Avison Young)	Noted
(9)	Marine Management Organisation	Noted
(10)	Boyer Planning obo the Vistry Group ( land at Brockford Road)	AECOM was appointed via Locality to provide an independent professional report, completed March 19. Information regarding these proposals were not received until May 21. MNPG are unable to comment further regarding the AECOM work and subsequent report. MNPG proposed policies, site allocations and housing numbers exceed the currently proposed Joint Local Plan with a margin to include any future increased targets. No further action proposed.
(11)	Boyer Planning obo the Vistry Group (land at Chapel Road)	All local consultation has emphasised the community requirements of small development sites and to avoid additional traffic via the conservation area. This site will provide increased traffic through the conservation area - either for the current 20 dwellings with planning permission or any increased numbers. No further action proposed.
(12)	M Scott Properties Ltd (land N of Mill Road and S of Chapel Road)	All local consultation has emphasised the community requirements of small development sites and to avoid additional traffic via the conservation area. This site will provide increased traffic through the conservation area. MNPG proposed policies, site allocations and housing numbers exceed the currently proposed Joint Local Plan with a margin to include any future increased targets. No further action proposed.