

## Elmswell Neighbourhood Plan

Parish Council's response to comments received at Regulation 16 Consultation stage

<b>Body</b>	<b>Parish Council response</b>
1) Suffolk County Council	<p>Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage</p> <p>ELM 1 – The suggested amendment referring to house types is not appropriate for a policy that deals with the location of development.</p> <p>Public Rights of Way - There is no requirement for the neighbourhood plan to include a policy on Public Rights of Way.</p> <p>The Parish Council would be willing to include a new map to illustrate the Settlement Boundary should the Examiner consider it helpful to the Plan.</p>
2) Natural England	<p>Natural England did not comment at the Regulation 14 consultation stage.</p> <p>Comments are noted</p>
3) National Highways	<p>Anglian Water did not comment at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage</p> <p>Comments are noted</p>
4) Anglian Water	<p>Anglian Water did not comment at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage</p> <p>The Parish Council would support the inclusion of the statement "Development in the Local Green Spaces will be consistent with national policy for Green Belts" at the end of Policy ELM3.</p>
5) James Bailey Planning Ltd. on behalf of Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd.	<p>Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage</p> <p>ELM1 – nothing further to add</p> <p>ELM2 – nothing further to add</p> <p>ELM3 – Local Green Space designation can only apply to existing spaces</p>
6) Pegasus Group on behalf of Endurance Estates Strategic Land Ltd.	<p>Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage</p> <p>ELM1 – there is no requirement for the Neighbourhood Plan to provide flexibility, perhaps better defined as "uncertainty", in terms of locations or future development. The Proposed Modifications to the Joint Local Plan (March 2023) note, in Table 3 – Residual Housing Need over the Plan Period, that 100% of the identified local housing need for Mid Suffolk for the period to 2037 have already been met and there is no need for such "flexibility".</p> <p>ELM2 – nothing further to add</p> <p>ELM3 – nothing further to add</p> <p>ELM4 – nothing further to add</p> <p>ELM5 – nothing further to add</p>
7) ElmsWild	<p>Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage</p> <p>Nothing further to add</p>

<b>Body</b>	<b>Parish Council response</b>
8) Harvey	Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage  Nothing further to add
9) Mogridge	This person did not comment at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage  The green space referred to is identified in the Neighbourhood Plan as Local Green Space ELM 3 (7) Hall Lane play area
10) Rogers	Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage  The key features of the Important Views are identified in the supporting Appraisal of Important Views (January 2023) submitted alongside the Neighbourhood Plan.
11) Spencer	Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage  Nothing further to add
12) Livall	This person did not comment at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage  The Neighbourhood Plan is not required to address the matters raised as the Neighbourhood Plan Regulations are not prescriptive on what should or should not be included.
13) Historic England	Comments were submitted at the Regulation 14 Pre-Submission Stage  Nothing further to add