**Scoring Matrix – Living Well in Winter Grant**

|  |
| --- |
| **Applicant and Application Details** |
| Applicant |  |
| District |  |
| Parish |  |
| Postcode (**group, not contact**) |  |
| Officer Assessing |  |
| Project/costs covered |  |
| Amount requested | £ |
| Level of surplus achieved in previous financial year | £ |
| Level of unrestricted reserves (request if not included) | £ |
| Is the organisation eligible for funding  | Yes/No |
| If required, does the project have planning permission? | Yes/No |
| Three key policies are in place | Yes/No (*conditional offer if No*) |

|  |
| --- |
| **A - Is it an eligible project?*** New project activities are not required.
* Organisations can apply once for up to £2,000
 |
| Yes |  |
| No |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **B – Project** |
| A project is not clearly outlined. | 0 |
| A project is described but not in detail. | 2 |
| A clear and cohesive project has been detailed. | 4 |

|  |
| --- |
| **C – Need Identified** |
| No clear or limited need articulated or addressed. | 0 |
| Some need has been articulated or addressed, anecdotally. | 3 |
| A clearly understood need has been identified, articulated and addressed. | 6 |
| A clearly understood need has been articulated, addressed, and evidenced through surveys, consultation or feedback provided. | 9 |

|  |
| --- |
| **D – Project Beneficiaries** |
| The applicant has provided no or limited details of what benefits the project will deliver to their community.  | 0 |
| The applicant has provided a description of what benefits the project will deliver to their community, and the number of beneficiaries. | 3 |
| The applicant has provided a detailed description of what benefits the project will deliver to their community, and the number of beneficiaries. | 6 |
| The applicant has provided a comprehensive and detailed description of what benefits the project will deliver to their community as well as a detailed breakdown of beneficiaries/service users. | 9 |

|  |
| --- |
| **E – Priorities**  |
| The applicant does not reference how the application meets the Grant’s priorities. | **0** |
| The applicant provides limited details how the application meets the Grant’s priorities.  | 2 |
| The application clearly and comprehensively meets the Grant’s priorities. | 4 |

|  |
| --- |
| **F – Outcomes and Indicators**  |
| None or limited outcome or indicators provided, or it is unclear how the outcome identified will provide benefit.  | 0 |
| Outcome and indicators are identified but they are not demonstrably Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and Timebound (SMART). | 2 |
| Outcome and indicators are clearly identified and SMART. | 4 |

|  |
| --- |
| **G - Financial** |
| It is unclear how the funding will be used and no breakdown of costs have been provided. | **0** |
| Total figures and costs have been provided, but no breakdown. | 2 |
| Total figures and costs have been provided with a breakdown of costs. | 4 |

|  |
| --- |
| **J – Additional Documentation** |
| Have accounts been provided? |  |
| If applicable, have quotes been provided? |  |
| Have any additional required documents been provided? |  |
| Officer Comments: |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **L - Timeline** |
| Stated project start date: |  |
| Is this target evidenced and achievable (YES or **NO**) |  |
| Officer Comments: |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **TOTAL SCORE (out of 34)** |  |
| **Has the application scored above 50%?** |  |
| **Conclusion and Officer Comments (inc. reserves)** |  |